



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,889	11/01/2000	Makoto Onozawa	122.1422	8796

21171 7590 05/22/2003

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
700 11TH STREET, NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

ALPHONSE, FRITZ

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2675

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/702,889

Applicant(s)
Onozawa et al.

Examiner
Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit
2675



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fritz Alphonse (PTO)

(3) _____

(2) Eric Berkowitz (Applicant's Representative)

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 20, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Kim (U.S. Pat. No. 6,211,867) and Marcotte (U.S. Pat. No. 5,642,018).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Distinction between the claimed invention and the prior art have been discussed. Applicant's Representative argues that Kim does not change the phase of the driving signal. The argument, however, is not convincing. The Examiner contends that Kim teaches about a circuit that adjusts the timing edge of the changing signal (see Kim, col. 5, lines 19-32). The last amendment filed still reads on the broad claim language. An advisory action has been mailed to the Applicant before this interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required